

JOHN T. SCOTT
VP & Deputy General Counsel

1300 I Street, N.W. Suite 400-West Washington, DC 20005

Phone: (202) 589-3760 Fax: (202) 589-3750

john.scott@verizonwireless.com

April 12, 2012

## Ex Parte

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and SpectrumCo, LLC and Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and Cox TMI Wireless LLC, for Consent to Assign Licenses, WT Docket No. 12-4

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On April 10, 2012, the following individuals representing the applicants in the above-referenced proceeding met with Charles Mathias, Senior Counsel and Legal Advisor to Chairman Genachowski: Kathleen Grillo and John Scott, representing Verizon Wireless, Kathryn Zachem and David Don, representing Comcast Corp., Daniel Brenner, representing Bright House Networks, Inc., Barry Ohlson, representing Cox TMI Wireless, LLC, and Terri Natoli and Steven Teplitz, representing Time Warner Cable Inc.

The applicants' representatives discussed how the spectrum assignments would help meet consumers' rapidly growing demand for broadband services, as detailed in the applications and in the applicants' March 2, 2012 Joint Opposition.<sup>1</sup> They discussed why Verizon Wireless would hold less than the amount of spectrum set by the spectrum screen in nearly all markets and why the assignments would cause no competitive harm in any market, again as detailed in the applications and in the Joint Opposition.<sup>2</sup> They discussed the cable companies' efforts to investigate the provision of mobile wireless services, their ultimate conclusions that doing so did not make operational or economic sense, and their decisions that the better course was to transfer the spectrum to Verizon Wireless as the best way to put the spectrum to use for the benefit of consumers.<sup>3</sup> Finally, they noted that Section 310(d) of the Communications Act bars the Commission from considering the arguments of various opponents that they would be better

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and SpectrumCo, LLC ("SpectrumCo App"), Exhibit 1, at 5-19; Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and Cox TMI Wireless LLC ("Cox App"), Exhibit 1 at 6-18; Joint Opposition at 12-31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See SpectrumCo App. at 24-33; Cox App at 21-22; Joint Opposition at 42-63.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See SpectrumCo App. at 20-24; Cox App at 18-20; Joint Opposition at 33-40.

Letter to Marlene H. Dortch April 12, 2012 Page 2

positioned to purchase and use the spectrum or that the public interest would be better served by a transaction between them and the cable companies.<sup>4</sup>

Sincerely,

John T. Scott, III

cc: Charles Mathias

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Joint Opposition at 3-4, 63-64.